

REP ID	FULL NAME	ORGANISATION DETAILS	COMMENT ID	MAIN MODIFICATION REF	LP POLICY	PARA / DIAGRAM	COMMENT SUMMARY	RESPONSE
MMC/009	Nigel Trasler	Haddon Way Residents Association	D01	DM17	DS3(HA15)	HA15	New school has been removed from diagram and replaced with dark orange area, why is this?	Discussed at hearing sessions
MMC/015	Sam Gale/ John Kirby	Lichfields obo St Philips Land Ltd	D02	DM21	DS3	HA49	support	Noted
MMC/018	Bob Woollard	Planning & Design Group obo William Davis	D03	DM1 & DM20	DS3	HA43	Indicative diagram' for HA43 Land to West of Anstey boundary has insufficient area to deliver site and associated infrastructure. Requires a new priority junction to Bradgate Road to the north of the currently identified allocations without which there would be no through route and impact on the Nook. Diagram should be amended, as a minimum, to ensure that the allocation includes land necessary to provide access to Bradgate Road and the required school site.	Noted. Allocation boundary does include access land, agree not clear on illustrative diagram. Diagram to be amended.
MMC/018	Bob Woollard	Planning & Design Group obo William Davis	D04	DM1 & DM20	DS3	HA43	Dark orange areas are identified as housing parcels. This means that when the provision of open space, landscape buffers and the relationship to the Conservation Area is taken into account, there is no identified location for a 2FE school. This will have to be located outside of the allocation.	Noted. Should Inspectors consider this necessary additional text could be added to clarify
MMC/018	Bob Woollard	Planning & Design Group obo William Davis	D05	DM1 & DM20	DS3	HA43	Detailed landscape appraisal shows boundaries of allocation unnecessarily and artificially constrain developable area of site. Elements of development will need to be outside of allocation, risks delivery.	Noted, disagree.
MMC/018	Bob Woollard	Planning & Design Group obo William Davis	D06	DM1 & DM20	DS3	HA43	To make MM sound should acknowledge in policy and diagram that diagram is indicative only, not expression of developable area. At minimum must recognise that supportive infrastructure may need to be delivered adjoining the allocation, ref detailed masterplan with Planning application P/21/2359/2 . Minimum diagram amended to include land for access to Bradgate Road and school	Noted. Diagram to be amended to ensure access land is clear.
MMC/019	Sam Perkins	Define obo Bloor Homes East Midlands	D07	DM2f Key Diagram	DS3	HA48	Site boundary for HA48 must be extended to include the location of the relocated farmstead that is required to facilitate the delivery of the much-needed residential development and a key piece of community infrastructure within a high-quality development.	Noted. The Council would not object to the inclusion of the farmstead relocation in the allocation should the Inspectors consider this appropriate.

REP ID	FULL NAME	ORGANISATION DETAILS	COMMENT ID	MAIN MODIFICATION REF	LP POLICY	PARA / DIAGRAM	COMMENT SUMMARY	RESPONSE
MMC/019	Sam Perkins	Define obo Bloor Homes East Midlands	D08	NEW MOD PROPOSED	DS3		To provide certainty as to the intended distribution of uses, the policy should include a "Local Plan Diagram" for HA48 to highlight the location of the access, school and expansion site, residential uses and the relocated farmstead. This approach has been used in other similarly complicated allocation sites in the emerging Local Plan and would provide the required certainty and clarity in respect of this site-specific matter. Alternative suggestion is to modify Policy C1 to support the development of a replacement farmstead within the countryside, however, the preference and recommendation is for the modification to the site boundary.	Noted, disagree that a site diagram is required for HA48.
MMC/023	Sophie Truth	Pegasus obo Davidsons	D09	DM1	DS3	HA43	Support.	Noted.
MMC/023	Sophie Truth	Pegasus obo Davidsons	D10	DM20	DS3	HA43	Support.	Noted.
MMC/024	Phoebe Conway	Marrons obo Richborough, William Davis & Bowler Family	D11	DM17	DS3	HA15	Support subject to diagrams remaining illustrative in nature.	Noted.
MMC/026	Phoebe Conway	Marrons on behalf of Hallam Land	D12	DM1	DS3	HA64	Hallam Land also recognise that the local plan diagram proposed under DM1 and DM14 is illustrative but note that the 'housing within allocation' areas are not representative of the constrains led approach taken through the pending application (P23/1235/2) and as a result is not reflective of the deliverable site.	Noted
MMC/026	Phoebe Conway	Marrons on behalf of Hallam Land	D13	DM14	DS3	HA64	Hallam Land also recognise that the local plan diagram proposed under DM1 and DM14 is illustrative but note that the 'housing within allocation' areas are not representative of the constrains led approach taken through the pending application (P23/1235/2) and as a result is not reflective of the deliverable site.	Noted
MMC/028	Annabelle Parkinson	Carter Jonas obo Mr C Green	D14	DM3	LUA1		Leicester Urban Area is too limited, fails to account for areas well connected to Leicester such as Thurcaston. Recommend that LUA be extended to include Thurcaston (& their omission site at land east of Thurcaston) to allow new homes to come forward where they are needed most.	Discussed at hearing sessions.
MMC/030	Daniel Robinson-Wells	Marrons obo William Davis Homes & Roythornes Trustees	D15	DM19		New Diagram for Shepshed Allocations	Diagram not sufficiently clear to aid decision making. Black dot notation for 'potential shared access point' is placed where there is an existing public bridleway. Not clear whether diagram is indicating this is vehicular or pedestrian and cycle. To be clear the bridleway is to be retained in situ and provide pedestrian and cycle access. It is not suitable as primary site access. The diagram should be amended to remove black dot and reference to potential shared access point.	Noted, agree. Annotation referring to shared access point should be removed.

REP ID	FULL NAME	ORGANISATION DETAILS	COMMENT ID	MAIN MODIFICATION REF	LP POLICY	PARA / DIAGRAM	COMMENT SUMMARY	RESPONSE
MMC/030	Daniel Robinson-Wells	Marrons obo William Davis Homes & Roythornes Trustees	D16	DM19		New Diagram for Shepshed Allocations	The green arrow between HA32 and HA34 refers to potential pedestrian and cycle connections in the general location of where a spine road is proposed. Amend the key to : potential pedestrian and cycle <u>appropriate</u> connections	Noted, agree, however wording proposed by [MMC/032, DM19, DS, HA32] in relation to the same issue is clearer
MCC/031	Nick Baker	Lichfields obo CEG	D17	DM2 (c & d)		Key Diagram	Object to MM33. Overlap between LUA2 and HA7 needs to be resolved. HA7 encroaches onto the Thorpebury SUE, full extent of LUA2 is not shown. Correct boundary for LUA2 needs to be reinstated as per the Thorpebury planning permission.	Noted
MCC/031	Nick Baker	Lichfields obo CEG	D18	DM3 (b & c)	LUA1		Proposed change does not maintain the established and allocated site boundary of LUA2, which in turn is reflected in the Thorpebury Permission. Instead, these modifications indicate a reduction in the boundary of LUA2 to accommodate HA7(A and B). Correct boundary for LUA2 needs to be reinstated. Rep includes map indicating suggested changes to LUA1 diagram.	Noted.
MCC/031	Nick Baker	Lichfields obo CEG	D19	DM14 (b & c)	DS3	HA7	Object to MM33. Overlap between LUA2 and HA7 needs to be resolved. HA7 encroaches onto the Thorpebury SUE, full extent of LUA2 is not shown. Correct boundary for LUA2 needs to be reinstated as per the Thorpebury planning permission.	Noted.

REP ID	FULL NAME	ORGANISATION DETAILS	COMMENT ID	MAIN MODIFICATION REF	LP POLICY	PARA / DIAGRAM	COMMENT SUMMARY	RESPONSE
MCC/031	Nick Baker	Lichfields obo CEG	D20	DM1		Generic changes to all diagrams.	Additional areas for housing now identified in HA7 will further encroach onto land identified for outdoor sports on the North East of Leicester/Thorpebury Landscape Infrastructure Parameter Plan (approved pursuant to the Thorpebury Permission). Development here will also compromise the delivery of the secondary school required by the Council and the County Council within the S106 planning agreement that governs the delivery of the SUE. If area covered by HA7B is to be included within the plan, the 'Housing within Allocation' area indicated must be no greater than that indicated and consulted upon in earlier drafts of the plan. 'Route of New Road' on the diagram should be labelled as indicative, as the alignment within the access corridor parameter has not yet been settled with Network Rail or other stakeholders.	Noted. Agree that the addition of a dark orange 'housing within allocation' block in the south east of the site is incorrect and should be removed.
MCC/031	Nick Baker	Lichfields obo CEG	D21	DM14	DS3	HA7	Additional areas for housing now identified in HA7 will further encroach onto land identified for outdoor sports on the North East of Leicester/Thorpebury Landscape Infrastructure Parameter Plan (approved pursuant to the Thorpebury Permission). Development here will also compromise the delivery of the secondary school required by the Council and the County Council within the S106 planning agreement that governs the delivery of the SUE. If area covered by HA7B is to be included within the plan, the 'Housing within Allocation' area indicated must be no greater than that indicated and consulted upon in earlier drafts of the plan. 'Route of New Road' on the diagram should be labelled as indicative, as the alignment within the access corridor parameter has not yet been settled with Network Rail or other stakeholders. Correct boundary for LUA2 needs to be reinstated. Rep includes map indicating suggested changes to HA7 diagram.	Noted. Agree that the addition of a dark orange 'housing within allocation' block in the south east of the site is incorrect and should be removed.
MCC/031	Nick Baker	Lichfields obo CEG	D22	DM4	LUA2		Proposed change does not maintain the established and allocated site boundary of LUA2, which in turn is reflected in the Thorpebury Permission. Instead, these modifications indicate a reduction in the boundary of LUA2 to accommodate HA7(A and B). Correct boundary for LUA2 needs to be reinstated. Rep includes map indicating suggested changes to LUA2 diagram	Discussed at hearings.

REP ID	FULL NAME	ORGANISATION DETAILS	COMMENT ID	MAIN MODIFICATION REF	LP POLICY	PARA / DIAGRAM	COMMENT SUMMARY	RESPONSE
MMC/032	Lynette Swinburne	Savills obo Trustees of Grace Dieu & Longcliffe Estates & Roythornes Trustees Ltd	D23	DM1 and DM19	DS3	HA32	inclusion of diagram is supported, in particular clarification of the relationship between HA32 and HA34 and potential for pedestrian and cycle connections is welcomed and reflects aspiration for sites in planning applications.	Noted.
MMC/032	Lynette Swinburne	Savills obo Trustees of Grace Dieu & Longcliffe Estates & Roythornes Trustees Ltd	D24	DM19	DS3	HA32	potential shared access point' shown on key as a black dot is in the incorrect place (an existing PROW) to be retained as a pedestrian and cyclist route but not suitable for vehicular access; It is recommended to remove the black dot.	Noted, agree. Annotation referring to shared access point should be removed.
MMC/032	Lynette Swinburne	Savills obo Trustees of Grace Dieu & Longcliffe Estates & Roythornes Trustees Ltd	D25	DM19	DS3	HA32	Green arrow annotated as 'Potential pedestrian and cycle connections' should be amended to "potential <u>vehicular</u> , pedestrian and cycle connections."	Noted, agree.
MMC/035	Clare Clarke	Pegasus obo Taylor Wimpey UK & Merton College Oxford	D26	DM13	DS3	HA1	DM13 is supported	Noted.
MMC/037	Lynette Swinburne	Savills obo Grace Dieu Corporate Trustee 1 & 2	D27	DM1 & DM19	DS3	HA33	Welcome inclusion of diagram, in particular clarification of the relationship between HA33 and HA35 and potential for pedestrian and cycle connections.	Noted
MMC/037	Lynette Swinburne	Savills obo Grace Dieu Corporate Trustee 1 & 2	D28	DM1 & DM19	DS3	HA33	Green arrow annotated as 'Potential pedestrian and cycle connections' should be amended to "potential <u>vehicular</u> , pedestrian and cycle connections."	Noted, agree.
MMC/038	Lynette Swinburne	Savills obo Grace Dieu Corporate Trustee 1 & 2	D29	DM1 & DM19	DS3	HA35	Welcome inclusion of diagram, in particular clarification of the relationship between HA33 and HA35 and potential for pedestrian and cycle connections.	Noted.
MMC/038	Lynette Swinburne	Savills obo Grace Dieu Corporate Trustee 1 & 2	D30	DM1 & DM19	DS3	HA35	Green arrow annotated as 'Potential pedestrian and cycle connections' should be amended to "potential <u>vehicular</u> , pedestrian and cycle connections."	Noted, agree.
MMC/041	Hannah Price	William Davis Homes	D31	DM1 & DM15	DS3	HA12	Support updates to diagram. Ask for clarification that area shown as Green Wedge will not prejudice access to their site at Gynsill Lane.	Noted.
MMC/042	Liz Hawkes	Anstey Parish Council	D32	DM21	DS3	HA49	DM 21 notes the school notation has been removed and replaced with new orange block (HA43 Land west of Anstey Lane). The updated policies map does not show the location of the school.	Noted.
MMC/043	Alasdair Thorne	Marrons obo Bellway Homes	D33	DM1 & DM14	DS3	HA7	understand the diagram is illustrative and doesn't represent the constraints led approach taken by planning application and as a result are not reflective of the deliverable site.	Noted

REP ID	FULL NAME	ORGANISATION DETAILS	COMMENT ID	MAIN MODIFICATION REF	LP POLICY	PARA / DIAGRAM	COMMENT SUMMARY	RESPONSE
MMC/047	David Bainbridge	Savills obo Redrow	D34	DM1 & DM 10	SC1		Object to DM1/ DM10 because of objection to number and distribution of dwellings amongst Service Centres. This is insufficient due to: short plan period; insufficient buffer; lack of evidence on delivery of intensified housing allocations; proposed defining of net developable areas; and reliance on the CTCS.	Discussed at hearing sessions.