

REP ID	FULL NAME	ORGANISATION DETAILS	COMMENT ID	PARA / DIAGRAM/ PAGE	COMMENT SUMMARY	RESPONSE
MMC/028	Annabelle Parkinson	Carter Jonas obo Mr C Green	SA1	Table 5.1	disagree with landscape assessment as 'neutral'. notes that where intensification is great it is difficult to avoid negative effects on landscape. But despite significant increases in area of high landscape importance contents that impact only slightly higher than submitted local plan and would not change overall picture from one of minor negative effects. Contend lacks justification and is not robust.	Noted. Disagree
MMC/028	Annabelle Parkinson	Carter Jonas obo Mr C Green	SA2	Table 5.1	disagree with biodiversity assessment. Implications of modifications identified as negative but can be mitigated. Dispute that mitigation would be achieved on sites proposed to deliver significantly higher housing numbers. cite several specific sites.	Noted. Disagree
MMC/028	Annabelle Parkinson	Carter Jonas obo Mr C Green	SA3		report fails to explore implications of BNG 10%	Noted.
MMC/028	Annabelle Parkinson	Carter Jonas obo Mr C Green	SA4	Table 5.1	disagree with Historic environment conclusion of 'neutral' specifically relating to HA43 and Anstey Conservation Area.	Noted. Disagree
MMC/028	Annabelle Parkinson	Carter Jonas obo Mr C Green	SA5	Table 5.1	Healthy Communities impact assessed as 'mixed'. In terms of access to green infrastructure increasing densities shouldn't have a negative effect on sites. Disagree.	Noted. Disagree
MMC/028	Annabelle Parkinson	Carter Jonas obo Mr C Green	SA6	Table 5.1	Housing impacts assessed as 'positive'. Most sites identified for intensification are not related to the Leicester Urban Area and should be to meet unmet need. Disagree with assessment.	Noted. Disagree
MMC/028	Annabelle Parkinson	Carter Jonas obo Mr C Green	SA7	Table 5.1	Accessibility impact scored as 'mixed' . identifies that several sites proposed for intensification are not particularly accessible for walking, cycling and public transport. Disagree, likely to lead to high levels of car dependency. These negative effects should be highlighted in assessment.	Noted. Disagree
MMC/040	John Goodall	DLP Planning Ltd obo Lagan Homes	SA8		EXAM 83 - SA Topic 11 the conclusion of significant positive effects for housing cannot be supported or correct because the evidence for site selection and assessment of reasonable alternatives in options considered to provide for Leicester's unmet (comment made in reference to Gorse Hill site not been properly considered).	Noted. Disagree

REP ID	FULL NAME	ORGANISATION DETAILS	COMMENT ID	PARA / DIAGRAM/ PAGE	COMMENT SUMMARY	RESPONSE
MMC/052	Mrs Sue Hackett	Groby Parish Council	SA9		The overall feeling was that this was that the Charnwood Local Plan is a sound plan which holds weight. Only comment is in relation to concern for the sustainability for the separation of settlements (between Anstey and Groby).	Noted
MMC/048	Helena Taylor	RPS obo Redrow, Davidsons, & Helen Jean Cope Charity	SA10		Disappointed that the significant beneficial opportunities for the development of the Watermead Lane Site continue to be missed by the emerging Local Plan. It is clear that in practice the Site would be experienced within the landscape as a logical, cohesive and sensitive extension of the wider swathe of proposed development to the south of Loughborough considered that this approach will significantly undermine CBC's intention for a highly sustainable, plan-led approach to the provision of new housing. The dismissal of Option 2 sites (and therefore the Watermead Lane site) from the preferred development strategy is fundamentally flawed by virtue of inconsistent and incorrect considerations of the evidence submitted. In particular, there has been no explanation presented by CBC to date to justify the differentiation of approach taken towards the site (between local plan preparation and preapplication engagement), particularly in relation to Landscape evidence.	Noted.Disagree
MMC/048	Helena Taylor	RPS obo Redrow, Davidsons, & Helen Jean Cope Charity	SA11		The Sustainability Appraisal Addendum should be updated to assess the effect of additional site allocations as an alternative to the one-size-fits-all approach to categorising sites into Options 1, 2 and 3 for the CBC development strategy (and only progressing Option 1 sites). The updated Addendum should assess the impact of a blended approach to the development strategy and from additional site allocations, acknowledging that where Option 2 sites directly abut and enter into the spirit of the intensification of existing allocations, they may be considered appropriate for sustainable residential development.	Noted.Disagree

REP ID	FULL NAME	ORGANISATION DETAILS	COMMENT ID	PARA / DIAGRAM/ PAGE	COMMENT SUMMARY	RESPONSE
MMC/040	John Goodall	DLP Planning Ltd obo Lagan Homes	SA12		EXAM57B (Note on Selection of Sites for Option 2) simply reinforces these objections (that the conclusions of the SA cannot be supported). Page 4 of the documents confirms that it was necessary to reconsider small sites with at least one 'Scenario X' as part of this scenario option. Our client's site at Gorse Hill (PSH002) would satisfy the criterion for considering additional sites (notwithstanding the overarching objection that ecological constraints are not a reason to exclude the site from assessment in any event). Proposong a 50 dwelling limit on 'small sites' has no basis in national policy. Council has failed to take account developers proposals through P/22/2132/2 to provide development on a reduced area equivalent to less than 50 dwellings.	Noted. Disagree