

From: Phoebe Conway <Phoebe.Conway@marrons.co.uk>
Sent: 04 September 2024 10:10
To: localplans@charnwood.gov.uk
Cc: Alasdair Thorne; David Pendle
Subject: Main Modifications to the Charnwood Local Plan 2021-2037 Consultation Representations obo Bellway Homes
Attachments: Bellway Cossington Charnwood Main Modifications Representation FINAL.pdf

You don't often get email from phoebe.conway@marrons.co.uk. [Learn why this is important](#)

Good morning,

I am emailing to submit Written Representations to the Consultation on the Main Modifications to the Charnwood Local Plan 2021-2037.

The attached Representations have been prepared by Marrons on behalf of Bellway Homes in respect of the Land to the Rear of Derry's Garden Centre, Cossington (Site reference HA59).

Please may I request receipt of this email and the attached document.


Thank you,
Phoebe


Phoebe Conway
Planner


D 0115 945 3786
M 07816 104 541
E phoebe.conway@marrons.co.uk




Marrons
Waterfront House, Waterfront Plaza, 35 Station Street, Nottingham, NG2 3DQ
DX10004 Nottingham 1



 *I'm empowered to work in ways that best suit the needs of our clients, colleagues and life, be that email, phone, video or in-person - which means I may work outside of traditional business hours. I do not expect that you will read, respond to, or action this email outside of your usual working pattern.*

 *As a sustainable business, we try to minimise paper use so please use email where possible - although signed original documents should be returned as instructed.*





FRAUD PREVENTION

Please do not reply to or act upon any email you might receive purporting to advise you that our bank account details have changed. Please always speak to the lawyer acting for you to check any changes to payment arrangements. We will also require independent verification of changes to any bank account to which we are asked to send money.

Marrons is a business name of Shakespeare Martineau LLP. Shakespeare Martineau LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with number OC319029, is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority with number 442480, with its registered office at No.1 Colmore Square, Birmingham, United Kingdom B4 6AA. Shakespeare Martineau LLP is a subsidiary of Ampa Holdings LLP (registered number OC435936).

Any reference to ‘partner’ in relation to Shakespeare Martineau LLP is a reference to a member of Ampa Holdings LLP or an employee or consultant of Shakespeare Martineau LLP with equivalent standing and qualifications and are authorised by Shakespeare Martineau LLP to execute and to bind that entity accordingly. The members of Shakespeare Martineau LLP are Ampa Holdings LLP, Mark Beesley, Lesley Davis, Verity Kirby, Suzanne Leggott, Alex Smith, Hannah Tait and Victoria Tester. Service of documents by fax or email is not accepted.

You should carry out your own virus check before opening any attachment. Shakespeare Martineau LLP accepts no liability for any loss or damage, which may be caused by software viruses or interception or interruption of this email. Please be aware of cyber crime. Shakespeare Martineau LLP will not be liable if you transfer money to an incorrect bank account. We will not accept, or provide, bank details sent by e-mail alone. Please do not reply to or act upon any email you might receive purporting to advise you that our bank account details have changed. Please always speak to the person you are dealing with to check any changes to payment arrangements. We will also require independent verification of changes to any bank account to which we are asked to send money.

This email is CONFIDENTIAL (and may also be privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure) and is intended solely for the person(s) to whom it is addressed. If you have received it in error please notify the sender immediately and delete the original message from your system. You must not retain, copy or disseminate it. We do not accept any liability for any loss or damage caused as a result of computer viruses and it is your responsibility to scan any attachments.

Please consider the environment before printing this email.






 Part of Shakespeare Martineau

Representation to Consultation on Main Modifications to the Charnwood Local Plan 2021- 2037

Bellway Homes – Land to the Rear of Derry’s Garden Centre, Cossington (HA59)

August 2024



1. Introduction

- 1.1. This representation is in response to the ongoing consultation of the proposed Main Modifications to the Charnwood Local Plan 2021-2037. The consultation period runs from 17th July to 4th September 2024 and seeks to ensure the material changes to the submitted plan make the local plan sound and legally compliant.
- 1.2. This representation has been prepared by Marrons on behalf of our client, Bellway Homes, in respect of the Land to the rear of Derry's Garden Centre, Cossington (Site reference HA59).

2. Response to Main Modifications Consultation

Policy DS3: Housing Allocations

Main Modification – MM29

- 2.1. Bellway Homes support the increased yield to 130 homes proposed by MM29 which is in accordance with the outline permission granted under P/20/2393/2 and subject to the pending reserved matters application P/24/1023/2.
- 2.2. Bellway Homes are aware of representations made to the local plan examination and main modifications by Clarendon Land and Planning in respect of land between HA59 and the railway line which could sensibly provide additional homes and are supportive of those representations.

Main Modification - MM27

- 2.3. MM27 adds a significant set of explanatory text prior to Policy DS3: Housing Allocations. The Main Modification sets out that:

“The design and layout of development can contribute to managing its impact on, and accessibility to, infrastructure. We expect the design and layout of development on our allocated sites to be considered comprehensively with development at nearby sites, especially with regards to the following clusters of adjacent or adjoining sites:

- *Syston – sites HA1, HA2, HA3 and HA8*
- *Loughborough – sites HA15, HA16 and HA17.*

- *Loughborough – HA18 and LUC3 (Loughborough Science and Enterprise Park)*
- *Shepshed (West) – HA32 and HA34*
- *Shepshed (South) – HA39, HA40 and HA41*
- *Barrow upon Soar – HA45 and HA46*
- *Queniborough – HA64 and HA65*

Proposals should respond positively to opportunities for integrating infrastructure provision between sites, including in respect of site access arrangements, other highways and transport requirements and landscaping and other green infrastructure.”

- 2.4. The Framework requires policies to be clearly written and unambiguous, so it is evident how a decision maker should react to development proposals (paragraph 16d).
- 2.5. Whilst the list of clustered sites does not include HA59 ‘especially’ it appears that the wording is designed to apply to any of the allocations, should the decision taker consider it relevant.
- 2.6. Plainly, the wording introduced by MM27 is not presented as a specific policy but seeks comprehensive design and layout with adjoining allocations. This requirement does not extend to the site specific policies such as Policy DS3 (HA59) which require an agreed masterplan for the whole allocation and not a consistent development brief with any other allocations. A requirement to do so would be entirely inappropriate. As currently drafted MM27 is entirely unclear and as a result unsound.
- 2.7. If MM27 were continued in its drafted form through to the adopted plan it would cause serious potential for inconsistent interpretation by applicants and application by officers. Regardless, there is no associated planning policy to rely on. Additionally, the ‘clusters’ of adjacent sites are not necessarily directly linked and in some cases are clearly separated by significant existing built form.
- 2.8. The requirement for integration of infrastructure between sites must have been considered in the preparation of the Local Plan 2021-37. Certainly, the specific policies reference the need for contributions to be made to fund infrastructure on other allocated sites. Highways and transport matters can be dealt with on a site by site basis under INF1 and INF2 as proposed to be modified. MM27 provides no additional function other than to seed confusion when reading the drafted policies.

Policy INF1: Infrastructure and Developer Contributions

Main Modification – MM156

- 2.9. The proposed modifications to Policy INF1 under MM156 seek to “improve soundness and clarity with cross referencing to the full range of infrastructure in the Infrastructure Schedule, arrangement for transport improvements and collaborative working on transport.”
- 2.10. In this regard, we would raise the need to provide further clarity in respect of the provision of the reasonable costs of the on and off-site infrastructure needed to mitigate the impacts of the development. As currently drafted, and subject to the acceptance of MM156, Policy INF1 fails to relate the highways infrastructure impacts of a development to those identified within any associated Transport Assessment submitted as part of a planning application. We consider that this is a central mechanism in the pursuit of understanding a sites direct impact on the road network and should be utilised to determine whether off-site transport infrastructure improvements/contributions are required.
- 2.11. We would therefore propose a further modification to INF1 as follows, inter alia:

contributes to the reasonable costs of any infrastructure required to mitigate the impacts of the development strategy including through the pooling of developer contributions where it is demonstrated through appropriate and robust evidence including Transport Assessments, that the impacts can only be addressed in a comprehensive way including cumulative and cross boundary impacts; and

Policy INF2: Local and Strategic Road Network

Main Modification – MM158

- 2.12. Significant redrafting and modifications have been undertaken in respect of Policy INF2. The policy sets out how specific and cumulative transport impacts of the Local Plan’s development strategy will be mitigated through the preparation of three Transport Strategies.

- 2.13. Modification MM158 refers to “*Specific requests for developer contributions to fund the delivery of the Transport Strategies will be informed by appropriate evidence and by the policy framework in the Local Plan*”.
- 2.14. Whilst in principle this approach may be appropriate, our clients are concerned that the mechanism by which the Transport Strategies are in reality being developed and adopted (as wholly separate from the Local Plan by Leicestershire County Council in its role as Local Highway Authority) is to support Leicestershire County Council’s (LCC) financial contribution requests detailed within their Charnwood Transport Contributions Strategy (CTCS). This approach does not allow for the proper testing and scrutiny that would come through a development plan or CIL process.
- 2.15. Separate representations have been submitted to that consultation regarding the Site, and the Inspectors will be well aware of their own view expressed in EXAM80 that such an approach should be a Development Plan Document (DPD) rather than any other document type such as a Supplementary planning document (SPD) or ‘standalone policy’ as claimed by LCC, which is being consulted on 10th July to 23rd August 2024.
- 2.16. Furthermore, MM158 provides no clarity on how the policy should function alongside the CTCS, were this to be adopted by LCC and if this was the intention of the policy, nor how contributions requested on the basis of Policy INF2 are balanced against any contributions required through the CTCS.
- 2.17. We note that MM158 proposes to modify INF2 (inter alia) as below:

Specific requests for developer contributions to fund the delivery of the Transport Strategies will be informed by appropriate evidence and by the policy framework in the Local Plan.

Where improvements are being delivered to the MRN or SRN, we will look for these to include measures that deliver, as appropriate, improved facilities for walking, cycling and passenger transport.

We will support development that is supported by a robust travel plan and robust transport assessment of the impact of the development on the road network, including its relationships to any identified significant cumulative and/or cross-boundary traffic impacts, and that demonstrates such impacts can be proportionately and appropriately mitigated.

Where a transport assessment indicates that a proposed development will have an impact on significant cumulative traffic conditions across the Borough and/or indicates cross-boundary impacts, a proportionate contribution will be

required to the reasonable costs of measures required to mitigate such impacts in accordance with Policy INF1 either through a financial contribution or scheme delivery.

- 2.18. We specifically note the reference to transport appraisals and would suggest that to avoid any lack of clarity that additional wording be included as below in relation to the Transport Strategies:

Specific requests for developer contributions to fund the delivery of the Transport Strategies will be informed by appropriate evidence, such as transport appraisals, and by the policy framework in the Local Plan.



 **Marrons**

Certified



Corporation



Think before you print