

AGENT ADDRESS	AGENT DETAILS	FULL NAME	CUSTOMER ADDRESS	PHONE NUMBER
Emery Planning, 1-4 Hobson Street, Macclesfield, SK118BS	Mr Stephen Harris, Director, Emery Planning, stephenharris@emeryplanning.com, 01625442786	Mr Paul O'Shea	c/o agent, M26AW	01625 433881
Emery Planning, 1-4 South Park Court, Hobson Street, Macclesfield, SK118BS	Mr Stephen Harris, Director, Emery Planning, stephenharris@emeryplanning.com, 01625 433881	Mr Paul O'Shea	c/a agent, M26AW	01625 433881

Emery Planning, 1-4 South Park Court, Hobson Street, Macclesfield, SK118BS	Mr Stephen Harris, Director, Emery Planning, stephenharris@emeryplanning.com, 01625 433881	Mr Paul O'Shea	c/a agent, M26AW	01625 433881
Emery Planning, 1-4 South Park Court, Hobson Street, Macclesfield, SK118BS	Mr Stephen Harris, Director, Emery Planning, stephenharris@emeryplanning.com, 01625 433881	Mr Paul O'Shea	c/a agent, M26AW	01625 433881
Emery Planning, 1-4 South Park Court, Hobson Street, Macclesfield, SK118BS	Mr Stephen Harris, Director, Emery Planning, stephenharris@emeryplanning.com, 01625 433881	Mr Paul O'Shea	c/a agent, M26AW	01625 433881

EMAIL	MAIN MODIFICATION REF NUMBER	REPRESENTATION RELATES TO:	MAIN MODIFICATIONS LEGALLY COMPLIANT	MAIN MODIFICATION IS SOUND
stephenharris@emeryplanning.com		Updates to Housing Land Supply		
stephenharris@emeryplanning.com		Changes to the Policies Maps		

stephenharris@emeryplanning.com	MM67	Main Modifications	Yes	Yes
stephenharris@emeryplanning.com	MM29	Main Modifications	Yes	Yes
stephenharris@emeryplanning.com	MM27	Main Modifications	Yes	Yes

WHY MAIN MODIFICATION NOT SOUND	MAP CHANGE COMMENTS
	<p>PC18</p> <p>We have an outline planning application for 99 dwellings pending (P/22/2310/2). We support the change to the Limits to Development for Housing Allocations HA64 and HA65 which accord with our representations at earlier stages. We consider the capacity to be 99 dwellings for HA65 and recognise that the 85 dwellings in the policy was due to the Council advising the Examination that it had applied a consistent, cautious approach across all sites and there was flexibility on the quantum of development at application stage.</p>

<p>We have an outline planning application for 99 dwellings pending (P/22/2310/2). Whilst we consider the site can come forward sooner than 27/28, at the Examination, the Council advised the Inspectors that it had applied a consistent, cautious approach across all sites. Therefore, we support the trajectory on that basis. We also support the Plan stating that the proposed capacity for each site is approximate given that we consider the capacity to be 99 dwellings for HA65.</p> <p>As set out in our representation to MM27, our interest relates to site HA65 which is the subject of a current application (P/22/2310/2) and the layout has been prepared on the basis of the new bullet point in MM66 and MM67.</p>	
<p>We have an outline planning application for 99 dwellings pending (P/22/2310/2). Whilst we consider the site can come forward sooner than 27/28, at the Examination, the Council advised the Inspectors that it had applied a consistent, cautious approach across all sites. Therefore, we support the trajectory on that basis. We also support the Plan stating that the proposed capacity for each site is approximate given that we consider the capacity to be 99 dwellings for HA65.</p>	
<p>Our interest relates to site HA65 which is the subject of a current application (P/22/2310/2) and the layout has been prepared on the basis of the criterion on MM27.</p>	

WHY PROPOSED MAP CHANGES NOT LEGALLY COMPLAINT/UN SOUND	HOUSING DOCUMENTS COMMENTS
	<p>We have an outline planning application for 99 dwellings pending (P/22/2310/2). Whilst we consider the site can come forward sooner than 27/28, at the Examination, the Council advised the Inspectors that it had applied a consistent, cautious approach across all sites. Therefore, we support the trajectory on that basis. We also support the Plan stating that the proposed capacity for each site (in this case 85) is approximate given that we consider the capacity to be 99 dwellings for HA65.</p>

WHY HOUSING LAND NOT LEGALLY COPLIANT/UN SOUND

